SOUTHERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE

Date of Meeting	29.06.17
Application Number	17/01402/FUL
Site Address	79 Southampton Road
	Clarendon
	Salisbury
	Wiltshire
	SP5 3DG
Proposal	Replacement of existing structures
Applicant	Mrs Sally Wells
Town/Parish Council	CLARENDON PARK
Electoral Division	WINTERSLOW – Cllr Chris Devine
Grid Ref	417179 128526
Type of application	Full Planning
Case Officer	Warren Simmonds

Reason for the application being considered by Committee

The application has been called-in to Committee by Cllr Devine if officers recommend refusal as there are wider local issues to consider.

1. Purpose of Report

The purpose of the report is to assess the merits of the proposal against the policies of the development plan, local and national planning policy guidance and other material considerations and to consider the recommendation that the application be refused.

2. Report Summary

- (i) Principle of the proposed development
- (ii) Impact on the surrounding landscape
- (iii) Impact on amenity
- (iv) Highways considerations

The Parish Council: No response received

Neighbourhood responses: None

3. Site Description

The application site constitutes a parcel of land of approx. 2.6 hectares located off Southampton Road. The site has an access at the north west corner via a consolidated driveway and internal service road which extends into the site and serves the existing assortment of buildings within the site.

Within the site there is a small hardstanding/parking area near to the access, and a larger parking and turning area more centrally towards the north east of the site.

The site is recognised by the Council as a Gypsy and Traveller site and contains a number of single storey buildings and structures, including three static mobile homes.

The site is relatively well screened within the surrounding landscape by existing mature trees and bushes.

4. Planning History

S/2010/0245	MOBILE HOME (RETROSPECTIVE APPLICATION)
S/2004/0700	CERTIFICATE OF LAWFULNESS FOR SINGLE MOBILE HOME INSTALLED FOR USE BY THE APPLICANTS SON AND FAMILY TO HELP WITH RUNNING THE SMALL HOLDING
S/2004/2194	CERTIFICATE OF LAWFUL DEVELOPMENT FOR STATIONING AND OCCUPATION OF A RESIDENTIAL MOBILE HOME AS A FAMILY
15/10530/FUL	DWELLING Permanent siting and occupation of mobile home (retrospective)

5. The Proposal

The application proposes the removal of an existing mobile home and the construction of an L-shaped bungalow and associated single storey outbuilding.

6. Local Planning Policy

Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policies CP1, CP2, CP47, CP48, CP51, CP57 & CP64 Saved local plan policy C6

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (March 2012)

Gypsy and Traveller DPD (currently under preparation. Consultation was carried out in 2010. Further consultation is planned for 2017. A new Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) was published in December 2014 which informs the emerging plan).

NPPF & NPPG

7. Summary of consultation responses

Spatial Planning – Recommend refusal on planning policy grounds WC Highways – No Highway objection
Conservation – No response received
Clarendon Park parish council – No response received

The application was publicised by site notice and neighbour notification letters. Neighbourhood responses: None

8. Planning Considerations

This site is located within the designated Special Landscape Area of Salisbury (saved local plan policy C6 and adopted Core Policy CP51 refer), but is outside of the defined limits of development. For the purposes of the interpretation of the application site within the context of the local plan, the site is thereby defined as being within the countryside.

The application site is a long established and recognised gypsy site occupied by the applicant's family in mobile homes with associated day room structures.

Principle of the proposed development

Core Policy 1 outlines the settlement strategy for Wiltshire and identifies the settlements where sustainable development will take place. Core Policy 2 addresses the issue of development outside of settlement boundaries. Under Core Policy 2, development will not normally be permitted outside the limits of development unless it has been identified within the subsequent Site Allocations Development Plan Document and Neighbourhood Plan.

Core Policy 2 states that development proposals outside of defined settlement edges will be strictly limited, and only acceptable in certain circumstances. Under normal circumstances therefore, the provision of a permanently built dwellinghouse within the countryside would be unacceptable in principle unless the exceptions criteria set out under CP48 are met: WCS Core Policy CP48 deals with supporting rural life and explains the approach that will be taken to support rural communities, outside the limits of development of Principal Settlements, Market Towns, Local Service Centres and Large Villages and outside the existing built areas of Small Villages. The policy is based on the following key objectives:

- · Protecting the countryside and maintaining its local distinctiveness.
- Supporting the sensitive reuse of built assets to help meet local needs.
- Supporting improved access between places and to services.
- Supporting the community in taking ownership of local services.

CP 48 states, that outside the defined limits of development of the Principal Settlements, Market Towns, Local Service Centres and Large Villages, and outside the existing built areas of Small Villages, proposals for residential development will only be supported where these meet the accommodation needs required to enable workers to live at or in the immediate vicinity of their place of work in the interests of agriculture or forestry or other employment essential to the countryside.

Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 require that the determination of planning applications must be made in accordance with the Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

From the information submitted in support of the application it would appear that none of the exceptions criteria set out under CP48 apply to the current application. This is confirmed in

the consultation response of the Spatial Planning Senior Planning Officer, whose comments include the following:

"The development plan strictly controls new residential dwellings in the countryside. The proposal is in conflict with Core Policy 2 in the Wiltshire Core Strategy as it does not meet any of the exceptions in paragraph 4.25 of the plan. The first 3 exceptions are not relevant as the proposal is for a new bungalow, which constitutes residential development.

The forth exception via Core Policy 44 is not relevant as the proposal is not for an affordable dwelling on a rural exception site. Core Policy 46, which deals with specialist accommodation for vulnerable or older people, does not apply here either.

In terms of Core Policy 47, a proposal for an additional pitch would theoretically qualify as an exception under Core Policy 2; however the proposal is to erect a bricks and mortar bungalow to be lived in. Bricks and mortar structures can form part of a traveller pitch but only if they are not to be lived in (i.e. dayrooms).

Exceptionally, bricks and mortar accommodation on traveller sites in the countryside have been granted in Wiltshire before. One example is the traveller site at Braemar, Coombe Bissett, where permission for replacement of a mobile home with a bricks and mortar bungalow was granted in 2015 (15/08191/FUL). The permission was granted because the evidence relating to the applicant's poor health was considered to outweigh the provisions in the development plan.

However that application was supported with additional (confidential) evidence such as doctor's notes and other. Other than stating that the elderly resident is in poor health due to her age, no additional information is supplied in this current application, to substantiate that this would require moving into a bricks and mortar bungalow. In addition, the case officer confirmed that the elderly resident would not actually move into the proposed bungalow but continue to live in the authorised mobile home.

Therefore on the basis of all the information available at this point, there are no considerations which would outweigh the provisions in the adopted development plan. Again, the situation may be different if there was a clear and demonstrable need for the elderly resident to move into a bricks and mortar property due to health reasons (i.e. in connection with Para. 24c in the PPTS); and exceptional circumstances would have to be qualified with robust evidence.

For sake of completion, Core Policy 48 (Supporting Rural Life) may apply as development under that policy could qualify as an exception. However the supporting text at para .6.67 states that "Residential development will not normally be permitted in the countryside unless it meets the requirements of Core Policy 44 (Rural Exceptions Sites). However, additional dwellings may be justified in certain circumstances when they are required in the interests of supporting rural employment, for example in association with equestrian activities when worker accommodation is needed onsite. In view of the exceptional circumstances, applications will be scrutinised thoroughly and opportunities for accommodation within nearby settlements must be considered initially."

The application does not provide that information, nor does it attempt to demonstrate how the policy's criteria would be met. In any event the proposed bungalow is on an authorised

traveller site for persons that meet the definition in PPTS Annex 1, and therefore it would be misleading to apply Core Policy 48 in this instance which has a different purpose altogether.

Based on the information available to the Spatial Planning Team at this point the application cannot be supported as it conflicts with Core Policy 2 in the Wiltshire Core Strategy. No evidence has been supplied to demonstrate that other material consideration would outweigh the provisions in the development plan."

The Planning Statement accompanying the application briefly puts forward a set of personal, medical and family circumstances to explain and justify the proposed development, however no independent medical or other evidence has been provided such as could constitute a material planning consideration sufficient to dictate that the normal planning policy considerations in respect of the proposed development (i.e. the provision of a permanent dwelling outside of the defined limits of development) should not apply.

Additionally, the application is for a 'bricks and mortar' dwellinghouse in the countryside, rather than for a replacement mobile home – it has not been explained or justified within the submitted application why improved/increased accommodation within a new/enlarged static mobile home would not meet the applicant's needs.

Therefore, on the basis of the lack of substantive evidence/justification put forward by the applicant to demonstrate to the contrary, it is considered the normal planning policy requirements of the adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy and other local and national planning policy guidance set out within the NPPF & NPPG should apply in this case. The proposed development is therefore considered unacceptable in principle as it constitutes the provision of a new dwellinghouse in the countryside (outside of the defined limits of development) contrary to adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policies CP1 & CP2 and the aims and objectives of the NPPF & NPPG.

Impact on amenity

Being situated within a private gypsy site and adjacent to family members in other existing mobile homes it is considered the proposal would not unduly affect the amenity of other occupiers of the wider site or other residents within the surrounding area.

Impact on the character of the surrounding landscape

WCS Core Policy 51 seeks to protect, conserve and enhance Wiltshire's distinctive landscape character and states that development should protect, conserve and where possible enhance landscape character and must not have a harmful impact upon landscape character, while any negative impacts must be mitigated as far as possible through sensitive design and landscape measures.

By reason of the single storey form of the proposed dwelling and adjacent outbuilding, and by reason of substantial natural screening afforded by existing mature trees and hedgerow screening around the application site, it is considered the proposed development would not adversely affect the existing character of the surrounding landscape.

Highways considerations

The Highways officer has assessed the proposal and raises no Highway objection. The proposed development is therefore considered acceptable in terms of Highway safety.

9. Conclusion

On the basis of the lack of substantive evidence/justification put forward by the applicant to demonstrate to the contrary, it is considered the normal planning policy requirements of the adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy and other local and national planning policy guidance set out within the NPPF & NPPG should apply in this case.

The proposed development is therefore considered unacceptable in principle as it constitutes the provision of a new dwellinghouse in the countryside (outside of the defined limits of development) contrary to adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policies CP1 & CP2 and the aims and objectives of the NPPF & NPPG.

RECOMMENDATION Refuse, for the following reason(s):

01 The proposal constitutes the provision of a new dwellinghouse in the countryside, outside of the defined limits of development, where development of permanent dwellings is strictly limited. The site is recognised by the Council as a Gypsy and Traveller site and contains a number of single storey buildings and structures, including three static mobile homes.

On the basis of the lack of substantive evidence/justification put forward by the applicant, the proposed development is therefore considered unacceptable in principle as it constitutes the provision of an unjustified new dwellinghouse in the countryside (outside of the defined limits of development), and is thereby considered contrary to the aims of adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policies CP1 & CP2, and the aims and objectives of the NPPF & NPPG.